UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/807,372	03/24/2004	Adrian Steiner	PA-222	3962
	7590 11/17/200 CKMON & VOORHE	EXAMINER		
673 S. WASHINGTON ST. ALEXANDRIA, WV 22314			KASENGE, CHARLES R	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		2121		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			11/17/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/807,372	STEINER ET AL.	
_	i	
Examiner	Art Unit	

	CHARLES R. KASENGE	2121	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence addi	ess
THE REPLY FILED <u>17 October 2008</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS	APPLICATION IN CONDITION FO	R ALLOWANCE.	
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Application for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 periods: 	n the same day as filing a Notice of replies: (1) an amendment, affidav eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	Appeal. To avoid aban vit, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date	e of the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth later than SIX MONTHS from the mailin (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN TH (f).	ng date of the final rejectio E FIRST REPLY WAS FIL	n. .ED WITHIN TWO
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orion r than three months after the mailing da	t of the fee. The appropria ginally set in the final Office	ite extension fee e action; or (2) as
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comfiling the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed value. 	ension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	o avoid dismissal of the	
AMENDMENTS			
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below 	nsideration and/or search (see NC		cause
(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or	tter form for appeal by materially re	educing or simplifying th	e issues for
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally re	jected claims.	
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.7		,	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1	* **	ompliant Amendment (F	PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):		
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s).			
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		ill be entered and an ex	planation of
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-7,10-18,21-29,31,32,34,37,38,40,41</u> Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	<u>.43,44 and 46</u> .		
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fails	to provide a
 The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 	on of the status of the claims after e	entry is below or attache	ed.
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by	ut does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowand	ce because:
12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). 13. Other:	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
/Albert DeCady/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2121			

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The Examiner agrees with the Applicant that Westerman reference does not have a "fluid loop circuit" as intended to be defined by the Applicant. However, the term "fluid loop circuit" was not defined in the specification and can be broadly interpreted as a circuit with a loop that controls fluid flow. The newly proposed amendments to the specification filed 10/17/08, successfully narrow the term "fluid loop circuit" to be what the Applicant intended. However, these amendments narrow the claim language, thereby raising new issues that would require further consideration and/or search.